Developing Teacher Resilience and Resilient School Cultures

Carol A. Mullen, PhD
Professor
Educational Leadership Policy Studies Program
School of Education
Virginia Tech
Blacksburg, VA

Lee Brantley Shields, EdD Assistant Principal Rustburg High School Campbell County Public Schools Rustburg VA

Christopher H. Tienken, EdD Associate Professor Educational Leadership, Management, and Policy College of Education and Human Services Seton Hall University South Orange, NJ

Abstract

Teacher resilience in a pandemic is a timely topic for America's superintende institle Tature review focuses on teacher resilience and retention, with relevance for building resilient school cultures. The question guiding analysis of studies, which at factors and processes contribute to teacher resilience and the ability to overcome adversity indings were than dividual and contextual factors of resilience impact teachers' ability to persevere, as well as schools' capacity to retain novice teachers. Resilience is associated with retention, job satisfaction, and other positive outcomes. Actions for developing eacher resilience and resilient school cultures are identified teachers who attend to factors of resilience can better adapt and overcome adversity. School district leaders who encourage teacher resilience can foster resilient school cultures

Key Words

contextual factors, individual factors, literature reviewhool culture, teacher resilience, teacher retention

Cultivating resilience to adversity is gaining attention during the COVI $\mathfrak D$ 9 pandemic.

teachersR

Developing this frame we assigned individual factors (e.g., professional autonomy) and contextual factors (e.g., commitment) of resilience for each

dimension (e.g., pofessional). Table 1 identifies factors attributed to Mansfield et al.'s (2012) dimensions of resilience.

Table 1
Factors Illustrating Resilience Dimensions

Professional	Social	Motivational	Emotional
Professional autonomy	Positiverelationships	Optimism	Religion
Commitment	Problem solving	Education viewed as important	Values
Classible leave of control	Opposituaite to build	Calfaffiage	Deliafo

Reflection

Perseverance through .b (u)2.b (g)-10.b004 TW 9 <<(er)-4.4 (an)-10.b (ce t)-1.6

unexpected and recover from disruption and crises Duchek, 2020) Individual factors (e.g., self-efficacy) of resilienceoccur inside the teacher whereascontextual factors (e.g., administrative support) originate outside (Ainsworth & Oldfield, 2019;

Mansfield et al., 2016). Table 2 highlights these factors each with a number denoting how many sources not included it (e.g., 10 of them observed selefficacy as an individual factor, 7 sources cited administrative support a contextual factor).

Talale 12591.1 491.12 52 Tf /A284scn f 11

teachers' motivation, which guides classroom work, facilitates achievement, and maintains expected behavior Chiong et al., 2017)

Seeking to discover why teachers stayed in an urban schooWalker (2004) identifieds influences (a) effectiveess working with youngers; (b)good relationships within the district; and (3) a sense of selfatisfaction. Polidore et al. (2010) found that individual resilience factors of three veteran African American female teachers who faced significant adversity in their careewere moral/spiritual support, flexible locus of control, control of events, importance of education, positive relationships, bias for optimism, enjoyment of change, and deep commitment. Taylor's (2013) study involving four African American rural teachers supported these factors, adding self-efficacy owing to their belief that they could make meaningful contributions to teaching.

Besides these resilience attributes and Day (2013) dentified a calling to teach, as did Bennett et al. (2013) who found that veteran teachers felt passionate abbeir career. Hong (2012) confirmed sefficacy and positive student relationships as variables of teacher resilience identifying beliefs and emotions. Comparing teachers who leave and stay, Hong uncovered that those who departed displayed weaker sefficacy and had less administrative support.

Yost (2006) **eamined the personal self efficacy of novice teachers who were adjusting in their first year. They attributed their earlier positive student teaching experiences to feeling self-confident and competenPerrachione et al. (2008) added that personal teaching efficacy influences retention and that teacheeiaction with students and job satisfaction are also important individual factors.

Fostering resilience through learning experiences can boost teacher confidence and self-efficacy (Tait, 2008) Novice teacherthat demonstræt resilience, personal efficacy, and emotional intelligence were able to show competence, act on opportunities to develop confidence, and engage in probleolving. Notably, they could "rebound after a difficult experience; learn from experience and set goals; take care ofthemselves] and [remain optimistic]" (Tait, p. 69 Yost, 2006).

Martin (2016) explored retention pertaining to secial education teachers hose retention is generally lower than general education teachers The inquiry centered on how passion and perseverance for losengen goals may impact retention. Secial educators who displayed these qualities and devoted themselves to teaching, were observed as having positive relationships, persevering despite obstacles, and working hat dwhat they love

Teacher perspectives on hope as a sustaining influence lebevine (2013)to conclude that teteran teachers feel they neak difference through their student advocacy. They seem to be guided by a falt based call to teach and train professional autonomy and respect. Towers (2017), who explored why long-serving teachers stay in challenging London primary schools, learned that they felt fulfilled from influencing children's lives, sharing bonds and dynamic relationships with colleagues, and aving love for students and staff. The teachers expressed feeling comfortable and confident in their abilities and displaying selfefficacy at work.

iox cs syer Towl

Generally, PLCs addressacher development and student attainmend accounts foeducators' intersts (e.g., collective resilience in the wake of pandemics) and tenacious school problems (e.g., student dropout and rængagement; Patterson et al., 2004).

Realities of teaching thatnpede novice teachers' adaptation must be made known to preservice teachers (Fontaine et al., 2012). Prevailing contextual factors of schooling that affect teacher selefficacy and morale include poor working conditions, difficult work assignments, little administrative support, and lack of compensation (Custi 2012).

In their preparatory programseacher candidates must also learn abstrategies that cultivate resilience. Gains can be realized from opportunities for resilience comprehension and development that build courage, teach skills and attitudes, provideelpful supports cultivatequality relationsh

• Creates a positive collegial school culture: demonstrates inclusive and collaborative leadership in a variety of ways

Conclusion

Veteran teacher resilience and retention are understudied, and the literature depends on small sample sizes compensatory move of our review process to considereacher resilience and retention more generally.

Most teacher resilience literature is from teacher educatorso we encourage more contributions from EDL/EDAEDL/EDA researchers do study school cultures and dynamics that shape "healthy" and "productive" environmentse(g., Louis &

Murphy, 2017;Ryan, 2020), so we urg1 (e i)aginaTTs[(ED)1 (L/) Tc 0.003 TL/Mg1 (e i)urgtivo5 Td k ED do bends-MCID 3 >>BDC i(ma)6 ax Tc tramalyc 0 Ttoc 0 15 Tdic20

References

- Ainsworth, S., & Oldfield, J. (2019), Quantifying teacher resilience: Context matters. Teaching and Teacher Education, 82, 117–128.
- Allensworth, E., Ponisciak, S., & Mazzeo, C. (2009). The schealshers leave: Teacher mobility in Chicago public schools. Consortium on Chicago School Research. https://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED505882

Authors. (2020).

Bennett, S. V., Brown, J. J., Kirb mith, A., & Seversn, B. (2013). Influences of the heart: Novice and experienced teachers remaining in the field. Teacher De/Footer /Type /Pagination >> BDC /CS

- Glazer, J. (2018). Learning from those who no longer teach: Viewing teacher attrition through a resistance lens. Teaching & Teacher Education 62471.
- Grayson, J., & Alvarez, H. (2008). School climate factors relating to teacher burnout: A mediator model. Teaching and Teacher Education, 24, 13863.
- Gu, Q., & Day, C. (2013). Challenges to teacher resilience: Conditions count. British Educational Reserch Journal 39(1), 22–44.
- Hong, J. Y. (2012). Why do some beginning teachers leave the school, and others stay?

 Understanding teacher resilience through psychological lenses. Teachers and Teaching: Theory and Practice 18(4), 417-440.
- Kukla-Acevedo, S. (2009). Leavers, movers, and stayers: The role of workplace conditions in teacher mobility decisions. Journal of Educational Research, 102(6), 443–452.
- Le Cornu, R. (2013). Building early career teacher resilience: The role of relationships. Australian Journal of Teacher Education, 38(4),116.
- Levine, A. C. (2013). The sustaining power of hope: Perspectives of public school teachers. Research in the Schools, 20(1), 575.
- Louis, K., & Murphy, J. (2017). Trust, caring and organizational ring: The leader's roleournal of Educational Administration, 55(1), 103–126.
- Malloy, W. W., & Allen, T. (2007). Teacher retention in a teacher resiliencity ling rural school. Rural Educator 28(2), 19-27.
- by at his stilled is seed of the sea and in the sea

- Walker, A. D. (2004). A case study of why teachers choose to remain in one urbandischioodl (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA. https://searchproquestcom.ezproxy.lib.vt.edu/pqdtglobal/docview/305105020/7fbd8a987fc84ca8pq/1?accountid=14826.
- Whipp, P. R., & Salin, K. (2018). Physical education teachers in Australia: Why do they stay? Social Psychology of EducatioAn International Journal21(4), 897–